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The menisci play a crucial role in knee function through optimizing contact mechanics (increasing contact area and distributing contact stresses), providing anteroposterior stability, articular cartilage nutrition and proprioception. It is well known that the post-meniscectomized knee can lead to degenerative changes that result in significant pain and disability. Meniscal transplantation attempts to restore the normal structure and function to the knee joint. Several studies suggest that anatomic bony fixation of the anterior and posterior horns are necessary to approximate normal knee contact mechanics. The purpose of this chapter is to describe the indications, preoperative planning, operative technique, postoperative rehabilitation, and results of the bone bridge in slot technique for meniscal allograft transplantation.
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It is well known that after a meniscectomy degenerative changes are more likely to evolve.1–4 Today, surgeons place a premium on saving the meniscus whenever possible. Unfortunately, there remains a large number of patients who have undergone prior meniscectomy. Additionally, irreparable meniscus tears do occur leading to an at-risk population for the development of postmeniscectomy arthritis. The poor function and disability associated with the symptomatic meniscectomized knee is the impetus behind meniscus transplantation. Meniscus replacement is performed in an effort to restore normal knee biomechanics and stave off the progression of degenerative change. The first meniscal transplant was performed in 1984.5 Since then, several studies have demonstrated that meniscus transplantation increases contact area and decreases contact pressure and meniscus-related impairment.6–8

There are several techniques for allograft meniscus transplantation. A bone bridge technique (where the relationship between the anterior and posterior horns is maintained through a bony connection) has been used for several years.9 We use a system developed in collaboration with two of the senior authors (JF and BJC) produced by Regeneration Technologies Incorporated (Alachua, FL). Potential advantages include: 1) maintaining the proper relationship between the anterior and posterior horn attachment sites, 2) decreasing positional error, 3) and firm anatomic attachments allowing the meniscus to “capture the femoral condyle” potentially restoring meniscus function and normalizing tibiofemoral contact pressures. The technique of preparing the donor meniscus bone bridge and recipient slot is presented in this article.

INDICATIONS

The indications for transplantation continue to evolve as the benefits become better elucidated. Fundamental requirements include a stable knee, the absence of ipsilateral angular malalignment, and low grade arthritis. The ideal candidate for allograft meniscus transplantation is the symptomatic patient with prior meniscectomy, persistent pain in the involved compartment with relatively intact articular cartilage (ie, less than grade III), normal alignment, and a stable joint. There is no upper chronological age limit, but it is the author’s experience that patients older than 50 years have commonly developed a degree of arthritis that prevents them from being a candidate for the procedure.

Simultaneous or staged ligament reconstruction or realignment procedures are performed as indicated. Even a few degrees of angular malalignment with deviation toward the involved compartment compared with the contralateral limb is an indication for osteotomy. Significant articular disease (ie, late grade III or IV) and radiographic femoral condyle flattening or marked osteophyte formation are generally associated with inferior results and are considered the most common contraindications. Localized chondral defects should be treated concomitantly. Additional contraindications include inflammatory arthritis, obesity, and previous infection. Most importantly, despite data supporting the potential of meniscus allograft transplantation to prevent the onset or progression of postmeniscectomy arthritis, it remains critical that patients are
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Fig 1. (A) Anteroposterior and (B) lateral radiograph with measurements corrected for magnification used to determine the appropriately sized meniscus allograft (see text for details).

sufficiently symptomatic to warrant and benefit from this complex intervention.

**GRAFT SELECTION**

There are several graft choices available which include fresh, fresh frozen, and cryopreserved. Present research demonstrates no clear advantage to transplanting a graft with viable fibro-chondrocytes. *Frozen grafts* result in non-viable fibro-chondrocytes, however the collagen framework is largely preserved in nonhuman studies. *Cryopreserved* grafts are frozen in glycerol to preserve the membrane and donor cell viability. Either method is acceptable.

Fig 2. (A) Arthroscopic view of a right knee of a 34-year-old male who had his lateral meniscus removed 5 years previously before and (B) after meniscal preparation with debridement to the periphery of the menisocapsular junction.
Fig 3. Arthroscopic view of an 18 gauge spinal needle placed percutaneously to determine the appropriate location of the trans-patellar tendon incision established in line with the anterior and posterior horn insertion sites.

Fig 4. Clinical photograph demonstrating the location of the trans-patellar tendon incision placed just central to the ipsilateral working portal within the lateral one-third of the patellar tendon extending from the inferior pole of the patella to the level of the joint line.
Fig 5. (A) Arthroscopic view of the depth gauge arm seated within the provisional slot created with an arthroscopic burr. (B) Clinical photograph of the drill guide in place with the guide position determined by a guide pin placed through the drill guide assembly.
Fig 6. (A) Clinical photograph of the cannulated reamer placed over the guide wire used to predrill the slot. (B) Arthroscopically, the reamer head should be directly visualized.
Fig 7. (A) Clinical photograph of the box cutting guide used to convert the cylindrical hole into the rectangular slot. (B) Arthroscopic visualization of the box cutting guide is important to avoid "snowplowing" beneath the articular surface as the guide is advanced.
Fig 8. (A) Clinical and (B) arthroscopic view of the rectangular rasp used to finish the slot and enlarge it slightly to create a relatively loose fit for the meniscus as it is inserted into the rectangular slot.
PREOPERATIVE PLANNING

Diagnostic imaging includes a standard weight-bearing, anteroposterior (AP) radiograph of both knees in full extension, a nonweight-bearing 45° flexion lateral view and an axial view of the patellofemoral joint. Additionally, a 45° flexion weight-bearing posteroanterior (PA) radiograph is recommended to help identify subtle joint space narrowing that traditional extension views may fail to identify. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is not imperative, but may provide some information regarding the condition of the articular surface and biologic activity of the subchondral bone (ie, subchondral edema).

Allograft sizing is performed from plain AP and lateral radiographs following correction for magnification as described by Pollard and co-workers. A standard AP and lateral radiograph is taken with a 10-cm template to adjust for magnification. The sagittal length of the medial meniscus is 80% and the lateral meniscus is 70% of the anterio-to-posterior tibial plateau dimension measured from lateral radiographs. The coronal width of the medial and lateral menisci nearly equals the distance from the respective tibial eminence to the periphery of the tibial compartment on AP films (Fig 1).

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

ARTHROSCOPY

Arthroscopy is initiated with medial and lateral parapatellar portals. Diagnostic arthroscopy is performed to confirm the pathology and to remove the remnant meniscus to the vascular edge of the meniscus-capsular junction without compromising the synovial lining (Fig 2).

A standard meniscus repair exposure positioned in line with the respective femoral epicondyle situated one-third above the joint line and two-thirds below the joint line is required to protect the neurovascular structures during inside-out meniscus repair. A Henning retractor (Linvatec, Largo, FL) is placed through this incision anterior to the gastrocnemius tendon to aid in directing the needles away from the popliteal structures and preventing injury to neurovascular structures.

Attention is returned to the intra-articular arthroscopy. An 18-gauge needle is used percutaneously to identify the location of the meniscal slot. It should line up with the anterior and posterior horns of the meniscus (Fig 3). A 3-cm incision is then made. This typically will be in line with the patellar tendon just central to the ipsilateral working portal incising within the medial (medial meniscus) or lateral (lateral meniscus) one third of the patellar tendon. While only the inferior half of this incision is used initially, it will be extended from the inferior pole to the
level of the joint line at the time of meniscus insertion (Fig 4). A burr and radiofrequency device are used through this incision to develop a superficial path 2 to 3 mm deep, which allows the drill guide arm to be seated into this shallow tunnel. The guide pin is drilled through the interior hole of the drill guide handle just to the posterior cortex of the tibia, but not through it (Fig 5). An 8-mm cannulated drill is placed over the guide pin and gently advanced to the posterior cortex of the tibia (Fig 6). To convert the subchondral circular hole into an intra-articular slot, the 8 mm box cutting guide is centered within the opening of the newly drilled tunnel created beneath the tibial surface and gently advanced while visualizing the vertical tines of the guide intra-articularly to avoid undermining or “snowplowing” beneath the tibial surface (Fig 7). The slot is cleared of remaining debris using a pituitary rongeur or arthroscopic grasper and contoured using the 3-sided rectangular 7- and 8-mm hand rasp (Fig 8). The final slot is 8 mm in width and 10 mm in depth (Fig 9).

ALLOGRAFT MENISCUS PREPARATION

The graft is thoroughly thawed in sterile saline. Soft tissue capsular remnants and excess tissue around the anterior and posterior horns is excised. An oscillating saw is used to create a 7-mm wide bone bridge that fully incorporates the bony insertion of the meniscal horns. A transverse cut made parallel to a line made 1 cm below the anterior and posterior horns is then made. Finally, any excess bone posterior to the posterior horn insertion is removed to allow full posterior seating of the graft within the slot. The prepared graft is sized using the rectangular sizing block. A No. 0 monofilament traction suture is placed at the junction of the body and posterior horns using a horizontal mattress technique (Fig 10).

INSERTING THE MENISCUS

A nitinol pin (Arthrex, Inc., Naples, FL) is placed through a zone specific cannula and directed toward the postero-
Fig 12. (A) Open and (B) arthroscopic view of the cortical bone interference screw approximated against the meniscus bone bridge within the recipient slot.
Fig 13. Arthroscopic view of the meniscus after inside-out meniscus repair.

lateral (lateral meniscus transplant) corner of the knee joint. The loop end is then retrieved from within the incision created earlier through the patellar tendon. The No. 0 monofilament suture ends are placed in the loop end of the nitinol pin and the pin is then pulled out the knee through the accessory posterolateral meniscal repair incision (Fig 11). During this step the knee may be flexed slightly and a varus load placed to open the lateral joint space. As the meniscus is pulled into the joint, the bone block is carefully seated in the prepared slot. The knee is cycled several times to seat the meniscus anatomically relative to the tibia and femur before fixation.

The block and slot are then tapped with a 7 tap placed central to bone block so as to compress the block and meniscus toward the ipsilateral compartment. Usually a 7 mm × 20 mm Cortical Interference bone screw (RTI, Alachua, FL) is placed (Fig 12). The meniscus is then sutured to the periphery of the knee. This is performed with 8 to 10 vertical mattress sutures using No. 2 to 0 Ethibond (Ethicon, Inc., Somerville, NJ) placed on the dorsal and ventral surface of the meniscus (Fig 13). The most anterior portion of the meniscus may need to be sutured with a combined open and arthroscopic technique.

REHABILITATION

Postoperative treatment after allograft meniscus replacement is similar to autogenous meniscal repairs. We divide our protocol into three phases. Phase one involves the first 6 weeks. For the first two postoperative weeks, partial weight bearing is allowed in a hinged-knee immobilizer locked in full extension. Heel slides, quad sets, patellar mobilization, and straight leg raises are begun immediately with the brace unlocked. From 2 to 6 weeks, weight bearing is allowed as tolerated with crutches and at 4

Fig 14. Postoperative radiographs at 6 months. (A) Anteroposterior and (B) lateral radiograph demonstrating excellent bone bridge incorporation within the slot and the cortical interference screw adjacent to the bridge.
The brace is discontinued at 6 weeks if the patient can ambulate without a limp. Exercises are advanced to allow closed chain exercises and terminal knee extensions. Weight bearing with flexion beyond 90° is minimized for the first six postoperative weeks.

Phase II (8 to 12 weeks postoperative) allows full weight bearing without crutches and full active range of motion. Progression of closed chain activities continues, hamstring work is initiated, lunges are allowed from 0 to 90° of flexion, and proprioception exercises and leg presses are permitted from 0 to 90°.

Phase III (12 to 16 weeks postoperative) exercises are progressed from phase II with the addition of functional activities such as single leg hops, a slow progression of in-line jogging to running, plyometrics, slideboard, and sport specific drills. Full activities are permitted at 4 to 6 months.

RESULTS

The results of meniscal allograft transplantation have been published by a variety of authors with good and excellent results ranging from 50 to 100% with follow-up as long as 14 years. Poor results are generally associated with the use of irradiated menisci, the absence of bony fixation of the meniscal insertion sites and in patients who have grade IV change in the involved compartment.

Between September 1997 and September 2002, the senior author (BJC) performed 86 transplants with 38 patients having a minimum follow-up of 24 months. Of the 38 patients, two failed within 12 months leaving 36 patients for evaluation. In this series, all medial meniscus transplants (23) were performed using the double bone plug technique, and all lateral (13) were performed using the keyhole technique (Arthrex, Inc., Naples, FL). Twenty patients underwent isolated meniscus transplantation and 16 patients had combined procedures. Overall, there were significant improvements in Modified Cincinnati, IKDC, Lysholm, and SF-12 physical component scores. Eighty-six percent were completely satisfied with the procedure and 94% said they would have the surgery again.

As this is a relatively new technique, there are no published results that specifically report on the bridge in slot configuration. Two of the authors (BJC and IF) recently reviewed the first 34 patients treated using the bridge in slot technique. At an average of 12 months (range, 6 to 24) after surgery, all bone bridges were healed radiographically and there was no evidence of bone bridge migration or displacement in either the anteroposterior or superoinferior direction (Fig 14). The allograft cortical bone interference screw maintained its original position within the tibial tunnel in all cases and demonstrated varying degrees of incorporation depending on the latest follow-up time point. There were no tibial radioluencies or cystic luencies noted on any of the follow-up radiographs.

CONCLUSIONS

Symptom relief after allograft meniscus transplantation is predictable even at midterm follow-up providing that the with grade IV change) and that all comorbidities are addressed (ie, ligament insufficiency, malalignment). Clinical results demonstrate their effectiveness in alleviating pain, swelling, and in improving knee function. The procedure is technically challenging and warrants reproducible techniques. The bridge in slot technique is a relatively straightforward approach that overcomes some of the limitations of other bone bridge procedures. The procedure can be performed for both medial and lateral meniscus allograft reconstruction and has the additional benefit of generally shorter operating room times and reliable anatomic fixation through the use of the cortical bone interference screw.
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BONE BRIDGE IN SLOT TECHNIQUE
LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Knee Arthroscopy and Local Anesthesia

To the Editor:

The case for knee arthroscopy under local anesthesia is well supported by the retrospective and prospective study of Yacobucci et al. However, in reviewing other series discussing local anesthetics and my own experience, it is extremely important to review the patient’s age and population subset. For example, a 55-year-old workman’s compensation patient who has an “acute on chronic” meniscal tear in a knee with mild early degenerative change with resultant tight capsule and soft tissues is extremely different from a highly motivated college athlete with lax soft tissue constraints.

I certainly commend the authors on investigating an important area for patient comfort, decreased patient morbidity, and, finally, cost containment. As studies such as this are closely scrutinized by insurance carriers (who only read the conclusions and not the fine print), I believe it is in the best interest of all arthroscopists that the indications are clearly identified for each patient subset.

To me, this article aids in performing local arthroscopy but does not delineate which patients are or are not “candidates” as insurance carriers may extrapolate.

Jack Farr, M.D.
Saint Francis Professional Center
Indianapolis, IN

Reply

To the Editor:

As requested, I am responding to Dr. Farr’s letter commenting on our recent article published in Arthroscopy entitled “Arthroscopic Surgery of the Knee under Local Anesthesia,” authored by Drs. Yacobucci, Bruce, Conahan, Kitz, and myself.

On the basis of our experience with what are now well over a thousand surgical arthroscopic procedures performed under local anesthesia with i.v. sedation, we believe that any procedure performed with a general anesthetic can be successfully performed with the local technique described in our article. This would include arthroscopic partial and complete meniscectomies, closed meniscal repairs, closed lateral retinacular releases, retrieval of loose bodies, debridement of osteochondritic dissecans, and synovial biopsies. Success in performing these procedures under local anesthesia is independent of the patient’s age, occupation, or other demographic factors. Rather, the important factors are a combination of meticulous surgical technique combined with local anesthesia and some form of i.v. sedation in minimal therapeutic dosage. Importantly, it has been our observation that more than minimal sedation can result in central disinhibition with lack of muscle relaxation. Thus, with a heavily sedated patient, the procedure may be difficult if not impossible to perform.

Joseph S. Torg, M.D.
Sports Medicine Center
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA
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